
From the low-hanging-fruit-department
AVIRA Generic Malformed Container bypass (ISO)

Release mode : Silent Patch by Avira - Coordinated otherwise
Ref : [TZ0-01-2019] - AVIRA Generic AV Bypass
Vendor : AVIRA
Status : Patched (AV Engine above 8.3.54.138)
CVE : none provided, silent patch
Blog : <https://blog.zoller.lu>
Vulnerability Disclosure Policy: <https://caravelahq.com/b/policy/20949>

Introduction

=====

10 years ago I took a look at ways to evade AV/DLP Engine detection by using various techniques and released a metric ton of Advisories. 10 years later after multiple CISO type roles I wanted to deep dive again and see how far (or not) the AV industry has reacted to this class of vulnerabilities.

These types of evasions are now actively being used in offensive operations [1]. To my surprise with a few exceptions most AV Vendors haven't, in some cases I found the very same vulnerabilities that were patched and disclosed years ago.

Worse than that is the fact that some vendors that were very collaborative in 2008/2009 have now started to ignore submissions (until I threaten disclosure) or are trying to argue that generically evading AV detection is not a vulnerability.

A lot of exchanges took place on this matter, for instance one vendor argued that this could not be called a vulnerability because it would not impact Integrity, Availability or Confidentiality so it can't possible be a vulnerability.

Even more bothering to me is how the bu bounty platform have created a distorted Reporter/Vendor relationship and mostly are executed to the detriment of the customers. I am collecting my experiences and will write a blog post about this phenomnon.

There will be many more advisories, hoping that I can finally eradicate this bug class and I don't have to come back to this 10 years from now again.

[1]

<https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/specially-crafted-zip-files-used-to-bypass-secure-email-gateways/>

<https://www.techradar.com/news/zip-files-are-being-used-to-bypass-security-gateways>

Affected Products

=====

AV Engine below 8.3.54.138

All Avira products :

- Avira Antivirus Server
- Avira Antivirus for Endpoint
- Avira Antivirus for Small Business
- Avira Exchange Security (Gateway)
- Avira Internet Security Suite for Windows
- Avira Prime
- Avira Free Security Suite for Windows
- Cross Platform Anti-malware SDK

Attention:

Avira does not patch or update their very popular command line scanner that is still available for download on their website. Since Avira does not release an advisory their customers are none the wiser.

Avira licenses its engine to many OEM Partners. The OEM Partners that use the Avira Engine may be vulnerable or not. I would advise that you reach out to the vendors listed below to know whether you are affected or not. OEM Partners

56 can reach out to me to retrieve the POC in order to test.

57

58 AVIRA OEM Partners:

59 - F-Secure

60 - Sophos

61 - Barracude

62 - Alibaba Cloud Security

63 - Check Point

64 - CUJO AI

65 - TP-Link

66 - FujiSoft

67 - AWS

68 - Rohde and Schwarz

69 - Careerbuilder

70 - Huawei

71 - Dracoon

72 - Total Availability

73 - FixMeStick

74 - APPVISORY

75 - Tabidus

76 - Cyren

77

78

79 Source :

80 <https://oem.avira.com/en/partnership/our-partners>

81

82

83 I. Background

84 -----

85 Quote: "We protect people—like you—across all devices, both directly and via our OEM
86 partnerships. We provide a wide variety of best-in-class solutions to enhance your
87 protection, performance,
88 and online privacy—ranging from antivirus to VPN and cleanup technologies.

87

88 A server security should get special attention, as a single employee might store a
malicious file on the network and instantly cause a cascading damage across the entire
organization.

89 With Avira's solutions for server security you can prevent such scenarios by
protecting your network, data, and web traffic. "

90

91 Avira has the Trust Seal or the

92 <http://www.teletrust.de/itsmig/>

93

94

95 II. Description

96 -----

97 The parsing engine supports the ISO container format. The parsing engine can be
bypassed by specifically manipulating an ISO container so that it can be accessed by
an end-user but

98 not the Anti-Virus software. The AV engine is unable to scan the container and gives
the file a "clean" rating.

99

100 I may release the details after all known vulnerable vendors have patched their engines.

101

102

103 III. Impact

104 -----

105 Impacts depends on the contextual use of the product and engine within the organisation
106 of a customer. Gateway Products (Email, HTTP Proxy etc) may allow the file through
unscanned

107 and give it a clean bill of health. Server side AV software will not be able to discover
108 any code or sample contained within this ISO file and it will not raise suspicion even
109 if you know exactly what you are looking for (Which is for example great to hide your
implants

110 or Exfiltration/Pivot Server).

111

112 There is a lot more to be said about this bug class, so rather than bore you with it in
113 this advisory I provide a link to my 2009 blog post

114 <http://blog.zoller.lu/2009/04/case-for-av-bypassesevasions.html>

115
116 IV. Patch / Advisory
117 -----
118 I advise customers on scancl.exe (or Unix Variant) to change to another vendor as Avira
119 is apparently no longer maintaining it, and apparently also not warning customers about
120 vulnerabilities
121
122 Furthermore should be be an enterprise customer of the OEM Partners above I suggest to
123 reach out to the vendor in order to understand whether this flaw was patched downstream
124 in their respective products.
125
126 I recommend to the amavisd project to warn users of this facts
127 <https://gitlab.com/amavis/amavis/blob/master/amavisd.conf>
128
129
130 In case you have any further questions please direct them to Avira, the above is based
131 on
132 the best of my knowledge and since AVIRA does not release Advisories we are left in
133 the dark
134 as to what they officially recommend.
135
136
137 V. Disclosure timeline
138 -----
139
140 How Avira handled these reports in 2009 :
141 <https://blog.zoller.lu/2009/04/avira-antivir-generic-cab-bypass.html>
142
143 The below is a summary of 2-3 evasion reports that I have submitted.
144
145 How Avira handled this one :
146
147 15/10/2019
148 Submitted Proof of Concept
149
150 15/10/2019
151 Avira asks me to send a new POC using "EICAR"
152 (Eicar can only be compressed via forcing special compression mode - I refuse)
153
154 22/10/2019
155 Avira forwards to tech department
156
157 25/10/2019
158 Avira argues that this would be the same as adding a password to the file. "You could
159 achieve the same effect by setting a password on the ZIP Archive,
160 or encrypting the file in any way. This would also make it impossible to scan the
161 file. "
162
163 26/10/2019
164 I reply that Avira offers products that have no on access scanner (Commandline,
165 Gateway Products) and point again
166 to my blog post discussing these common arguments and the overall threat model.
167
168 Avira replies by basically ignoring the details given above:
169 "We analyzed your report again. After careful consideration we still have to decline
170 your report for multiple reasons.
171 First of all, the product you used in your evaluation (scancl.exe) is no longer
172 supported by Avira and not used
173 as standalone product."
174
175 Editor Note: Their command line scanner (scancl.exe) is in reality still available on
176 their website as of today and
177 is being used by a massive amount of customers especially as you can easily include it
178 in AMAVIS.
179 It can still be activated via license and AVIRA still recommends customers to install
180 it.
181 https://www.avira.com/documents/products/pdf/es/man_avira_antivir-unix_server_en.pdf
182 (Section 3.5)
183
184 Avira then shifts the blame to their OEM partners and customers :

173 "Additionally we checked the behavior of our engine on your reported cases. When the
174 engine encounters a corrupted
175 archive, we intentionally do not try to attempt to extract the file and instead report
176 back a warning to the product
177 (As shown in your output). It is up to the integrator of the engine, on how to handle
178 these cases and depends on
179 the security model of the setup."

178 "Our recommendation is to block these files, but as stated before, this is up to the
179 integrators and the specific setup.

179 There are also good reasons not to block these files, while still ensuring the
180 security of our customers. Our AV products
181 for example clients skips these files on scans, because a virus cannot be executed
182 when stored in an archive. As soon as
183 you extract the file, our OnAccess scanner scans the file, and blocks the execution
184 of the file, so that our customers
185 are protected"

184 Editors note: Again ignoring the many products that have no on access scanner or
185 where the on access scanner is not effectively
186 used.

187 "A similar behavior is conducted when scanning encrypted files, or self developed
188 archive types. Both types cannot be scanned,
189 but it would be unwise to block these files in general, since you surely agree, that
190 many encrypted files are not harmful and desired.

189 Please be aware that this reply also applies to your other reports."

191 28/10/2019

192 After I reiterated the threat model I get the following reply (Ignoring that their
193 other products can't parse the container
194 either)

195 "Yes we rejected the used application, because it is not designed to be used as
196 standalone product."

197 Editors note: Yet Avira gives guidance on how to configure command line scanners to be
198 used within gateway products as a
199 standalone product (see tech documentation on Vendor website)

200 "Therefore, having a warning that the file is corrupted (as it is) and can't be
201 scanned, is the most secure option."

201 Editors Note : In some cases it is indeed, but that's missing the point of this report.

202 "It then depends, as mentioned in my previous mails, on the integrator of the Engine
203 on how to proceed. For our consumer
204 products for example, the file will be skipped and scanned as soon as an application
205 tries to extract the file with
206 our OnAccess scanner. This is also the default process for encrypted files or own
207 defined, unknown data formats
208 (as you have when you deviate from the ZIP standard)."

208 Editors note: Avira continues to ignore that Avira sells products where on access
209 scanners are not present OR are no efficient.

210 "We have acknowledged that you may publish your report as a blog posting. Please do
211 not mention any names,
212 as this would be against GDPR laws."

213 Editor Note: Somewhere in between this I informed Avira that according the policy I
214 shared I will publish
215 the details effective immediately and no longer coordinate any future vulnerability
216 with Avira.

216 08/11/2019

217 I report more bypasses, in order to be able to handle and coordinate these reports I
218 reported to a
219 protected bugtracking platform. Informed Avira and send them the links to the POC.

220 "Is there any other communication possible to disclose vulnerabilities to us in a
responsible way?
221 Please feel free to sent us the submissions via email, as all other security
researcher are doing.
222 We will not register to any third party bugtracker."
223
224 Editor note:Note the passive aggressive implicitly pointer to not being reponsible by
submitting them
225 all details via a private bugtracker.
226 I inform avira that every other AV vendor is ok to use it and I'd expect them to do so
as well as I cant
227 handle 100 of reports in my free time without the proper tooling.
228
229 "Registering to an external bugtracker is not only very uncommon, but also not aligned
to the most
230 respected responsible disclosure policies (e.g. of Google or Microsoft) which inform
vendors also via email.
231 Your approach is also not compliant to your own set responsible disclosure policy
(Point 2):
232 -
233 When a security contact or other relevant e-mail address has been identified, a vendor
initially receives a mail with vulnerability details along with a pre-set disclosure
date (usually set to a Wednesday 4 weeks later).
234 - Source: <https://blog.zoller.lu/2008/09/notification-and-disclosure-policy.html>
235 Therefore we would appreciate to receive the details about your findings via email."
236
237
238 11/11/2020
239 I hence reply :
240 "You have received an email and a disclosure date together with a link on where to
find further information. That actually meets the below.
241 Now would you be so kind to actually focus on the matter at hand ? The matter at hand
are potential vulnerability reports that are offered to you,
242 for free. "
243
244 No further reply.
245
246 13/11/2019
247 I am "escalating" to the CTO of Avira as we appear to be connected on Linked in.
248 no reply
249
250 16/11/2019
251 Kind Reminder
252 no reply
253
254 20/11/2019
255 Giving it one last try - a discussion happens.
256
257 25/11/2019
258 Avira security lead contacts me on linkedin. We discuss coordination and disclosure
terms/details
259
260 28/11/2019
261 Submit POC
262
263 04/12/2019
264 "The feature was added to the engine version number 8.3.54.138, which we started to
265 ship today at 03:00pm CET."
266
267 Editor note : Feature.
268
269
270
271
272
273
274